UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America v.

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

	Jamie Michelle McArdle	Case Number: CR-16-50003-PHX-SRB
		3143(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	e	ty and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in
tne a	_	quires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case. I FINDINGS OF FACT
	IAKI	1 FINDINGS OF FACT
(1)	- ' ' ' ' ' '	defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local deral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
	\Box an offense for which the m	ned in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). aximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. cimum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
		d after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal
	any felony that involves a destructive device (as those	S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. minor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or e terms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or under 18 U.S.C. §2250.
(2)		ffense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was al, state or local offense.
(3)		eriod of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of nt from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.
(4)	conditions will reasonably assure	ablish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of the safety of (an)other person(s) and the community. I further find this presumption.
	A	Alternative Findings
(1)	18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is pr	cobable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
	□ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 9	n of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in1 956(a), or 2332b. 4, for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is
	cordance lude that the dethis contains (1) (2) (3) (4)	lude that the following facts are established: the defendant is a danger to the communithis case. the defendant is a serious flight risk and re PART 1 (1) 18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The offense that would have been a fewexisted) that is a crime of violence as defirming an offense for which the man offense for which a max a felony that was committed offenses described in 18 U. any felony that involves a destructive device (as those involves a failure to register (2) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense of the defendant (3) 18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A perconviction) (release of the defendant (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) estate conditions will reasonably assure that the defendant has not rebutted (1) 18 U.S.C. 3142(e)(3): There is proposed for which a maximum terming under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 9 under 18 U.S.C. 1581-1594

¹Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

	(2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. **Alternative Findings**
	(1)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
	(2)	No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.
	(3)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).
\boxtimes	(4)	The defendant has failed to prove by clear and convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight or a danger to the community.
		PART II WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	(1)	I find that the credible testimony and information ³ submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:
\boxtimes	(2)	I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:
		 □ The defendant has no significant contacts in the District of Arizona. □ The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.
		 ☐ The defendant has a prior criminal history.
		☐ There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.
		☐ The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
		☐ The defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of incarceration and a maximum of

²Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

³The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

	The defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial Services Report, except:		
\boxtimes	In addition:		
	The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of her supervised		
	release by committing the offenses of criminal damage and disorderly conduct, failing to notify the probation		
	officer of her change of address, using methamphetamine, and failing to participate in substance abuse		
	treatment. The Court finds that the defendant poses a danger and a flight risk.		

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 18th day of October, 2017.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge